

# **EXAMINATION IN PUBLIC**

**EiP Panel: Panel Chair: Jim Parke BSc (Hons) Geography MRTPI  
Rhys Davies CEng MICE, David Lavender MRTPI,**

**EiP website: [www.southwesteip.co.uk](http://www.southwesteip.co.uk)**

---

## **Panel Note 3    Transportation analysis**

1. The Panel has noted from paragraph 2.8 of the Greater Bristol Strategic Transportation Study (GBSTS) that the analysis of Scenarios B – E provided the input to the selection by the WoEP of Scenario F as the basis for the preparation and assessment of the study's transport strategy.
2. It is noted from paragraph 2.8 that Scenario F, which is described as a baseline spatial distribution, does not always reflect the WoEP's 'First Detailed Proposals' for the RSS.
3. It would be useful if a comparison could be provided of the levels of development and distributions in the GBSTS and the RSS.
4. Should significant differences be identified it would be useful if further technical work was undertaken by SWRA and the GBSTS partners collectively to complete the evidence base. It would be useful if the outcome of such work could be made available before the preparation of the Matters Statements.
5. The Panel would also hope that, in considering the implications of higher levels of development, SWRA will be able to take advantage of the technical work done for the GBSTS. It would be helpful if any outputs from the work could be made available before the First Preliminary Meeting – 16<sup>th</sup> January 2007.

20<sup>th</sup> November 2006