

EXAMINATION IN PUBLIC

Final Programme

Timetable, Final List of Matters and Participants

Please note: The **deadlines** for the submission of electronic and paper copy **Written Statements** are now
Matter numbers commencing during EiP weeks 1,2,3,4 & 5 by 5.00 pm, Friday, 2 March 2007 and
Matter numbers commencing during EiP weeks 6,7,8,9 & 10 by 5.00 pm, Friday, 16 March 2007.

Panel Secretariat Office
South West RSS EiP
2 Rivergate
Temple Quay
Bristol BS1 6EH

Panel Secretariat Office
General email: enquiries@southwesteip.co.uk
Telephone enquires: 0117 900 1814
Statements email: statements@southwesteip.co.uk
Website: www.southwesteip.co.uk

EiP Dates and Examination in Public Sessions 2007

Background Information & Purpose of the Examination in Public

Timetable, Final List of Matters & Participants

Page 1

5

6

January 2007

EiP Week 1 (Tuesday 17 April to Friday 20 April)	
Day 1	Tuesday 17 April
Day 1.1 am	<i>Chairman's Opening Statement & RPB Opening Statement</i>
Day 1.2 am	<i>1/1 Sustainability appraisal – soundness / role</i>
Day 1.3 pm	<i>1/2 Appropriate assessment</i>
Day 1.4 pm	<i>1/2 Appropriate assessment</i>
Day 2	Wednesday 18 April
Day 2.1 am	<i>1/2 Appropriate assessment</i>
Day 2.2 am	<i>1/2 Appropriate assessment</i>
Day 2.3 pm	<i>1/3 Climate change</i>
Day 2.4 pm	<i>1/3 Climate change</i>
Day 3	Thursday 19 April
Day 3.1 am	<i>1/3 Climate change</i>
Day 3.2 am	<i>1/3 Climate change</i>
Day 3.3 pm	<i>2/1 Level of economic change</i>
Day 3.4 pm	<i>2/1 Level of economic change</i>
Day 4	Friday 20 April
Day 4.1 am	<i>2/1 Level of economic change</i>
Day 4.2 am	<i>2/1 Level of economic change</i>
EiP Week 2 (Tuesday 24 April to Friday 27 April)	
Day 5	Tuesday 24 April
Day 5.1 am	<i>2/2 Regional population implications</i>
Day 5.2 am	<i>2/2 Regional population implications</i>
Day 5.3 pm	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 5.4 pm	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 6	Wednesday 25 April
Day 6.1 am	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 6.2 am	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 6.3 pm	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>
Day 6.4 pm	<i>2/3 Overall level of housing</i>

Day 7	Thursday 26 April
Day 7.1 am	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 7.2 am	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 7.3 pm	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 7.4 pm	<i>2/4 Housing market implications</i>
Day 8	Friday 27 April
Day 8.1 am	<i>3/1 Strategy approach</i>
Day 8.2 am	<i>3/1 Strategy approach</i>
EiP Week 3 (Tuesday 1 May to Thursday 3 May)	
Day 9	Tuesday 1 May
Day 9.1 am	<i>3/2 Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i>
Day 9.2 am	<i>3/2 Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i>
Day 9.3 pm	<i>3/2 Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i>
Day 9.4 pm	<i>3/2 Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i>
Day 10	Wednesday 2 May
Day 10.1 am	<i>3/3 Strategy approach - Rural areas</i>
Day 10.2 am	<i>3/3 Strategy approach - Rural areas</i>
Day 10.3 pm	<i>3/3 Strategy approach - Rural areas</i>
Day 10.4 pm	<i>3/3 Strategy approach - Rural areas</i>
Day 11	Thursday 3 May
Day 11.1 am	<i>3/4 Reuse of previously developed land and buildings</i>
Day 11.2 am	<i>3/4 Reuse of previously developed land and buildings</i>
Day 11.3 pm	<i>3/5 The selection of strategic greenfield development areas</i>
Day 11.4 pm	<i>3/5 The selection of strategic greenfield development areas</i>

Day A	Friday 4 May
Day A.1 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
Day A.2 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
EiP Panel not sitting early spring holiday week 19	
EiP Week 4 (Tuesday 15 May to Friday 18 May)	
Day 12	Tuesday 15 May
Day 12.1 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 12.2 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 12.3 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 12.4 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 13	Wednesday 16 May
Day 13.1 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 13.2 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 13.3 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 13.4 pm	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 14	Thursday 17 May
Day 14.1 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 14.2 am	<i>4/1 West of England HMA sub-regional Strategy</i>
Day 14.3 pm	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 14.4 pm	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 15	Friday 18 May
Day 15.1 am	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 15.2 am	<i>4/2 Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i>

EiP Week 5 (Tuesday 22 May to Friday 25 May)	
Day 16	Tuesday 22 May
Day 16.1 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 16.2 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 16.3 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 16.4 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17	Wednesday 23 May
Day 17.1 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17.2 am	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17.3 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 17.4 pm	<i>4/3 Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18	Thursday 24 May
Day 18.1 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18.2 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18.3 pm	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 18.4 pm	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 19	Friday 25 May
Day 19.1 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 19.2 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
EiP Panel not sitting spring holiday week 22	
EiP Week 6 (Tuesday 5 June to Friday 8 June)	
Day 20	Tuesday 5 June
Day 20.1 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 20.2 am	<i>4/4 Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</i>

Day 20.3 pm	<i>4/5 Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 20.4 pm	<i>4/5 Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21	Wednesday 6 June
Day 21.1 am	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21.2 am	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21.3 pm	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 21.4 pm	<i>4/6 Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22	Thursday 7 June
Day 22.1 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22.2 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22.3 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 22.4 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 23	Friday 8 June
Day 23.1 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 23.2 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
EiP Week 7 (Tuesday 12 June to Friday 15 June)	
Day 24	Tuesday 12 June
Day 24.1 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 24.2 am	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 24.3 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 24.4 pm	<i>4/7 Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i>

Day 25	Wednesday 13 June
Day 25.1 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25.2 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25.3 pm	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 25.4 pm	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 26	Thursday 14 June
Day 26.1 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 26.2 am	<i>4/8 Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 26.3 pm	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 26.4 pm	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 27	Friday 15 June
Day 27.1 am	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 27.2 am	<i>4/9 West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
EiP Week 8 (Tuesday 19 June to Friday 22 June)	
Day 28	Tuesday 19 June
Day 28.1 am	<i>4/10 Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 28.2 am	<i>4/10 Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 28.3 pm	<i>4/11 Salisbury District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 28.4 pm	<i>4/11 Salisbury District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 29	Wednesday 20 June
Day 29.1 am	<i>4/12 Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 29.2 am	<i>4/12 Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 29.3 pm	<i>4/13 South Somerset District HMA sub-</i>

	<i>regional strategy</i>
Day 29.4 pm	<i>4/13 South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy</i>
Day 30	Thursday 21 June
Day 30.1 am	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day 30.2 am	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day 30.3 pm	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day 30.4 pm	<i>4/14 Reconciling overall demand and sub-regional capacity</i>
Day B	Friday 22 June
Day B.1 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
Day B.2 am	<i>Keep Free</i>
EiP Week 9 (Tuesday 26 June to Friday 29 June)	
Day 31	Tuesday 26 June
Day 31.1 am	<i>5/1 Management of the transport network</i>
Day 31.2 am	<i>5/1 Management of the transport network</i>
Day 31.3 pm	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 31.4 pm	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 32	Wednesday 27 June
Day 32.1 am	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 32.2 am	<i>5/2 Improvements to the transport network</i>
Day 32.3 pm	<i>6/1 Affordable housing</i>
Day 32.4 pm	<i>6/1 Affordable housing</i>
Day 33	Thursday 28 June
Day 33.1 am	<i>6/2 Housing density</i>
Day 33.2 am	<i>6/2 Housing density</i>

Day 33.3 pm	<i>7/1 Flood risk</i>
Day 33.4 pm	<i>7/1 Flood risk</i>
Day 34	Friday 29 June
Day 34.1 am	<i>7/2 Energy & renewable targets</i>
Day 34.2 am	<i>7/2 Energy & renewable targets</i>
EiP Week 10 (Tuesday 3 July to Friday 6 July)	
Day 35	Tuesday 3 July
Day 35.1 am	<i>7/3 Waste management</i>
Day 35.2 am	<i>7/3 Waste management</i>
Day 35.3 pm	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 35.4 pm	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 36	Wednesday 4 July
Day 36.1 am	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 36.2 am	<i>8/1 Employment development requirements</i>
Day 36.3 pm	<i>8/2 Retailing and city and town centres</i>
Day 36.4 pm	<i>8/2 Retailing and city and town centres</i>
Day 37	Thursday 5 July
Day 37.1 am	<i>8/3 Tourism development</i>
Day 37.2 am	<i>8/3 Tourism development</i>
Day 37.3 pm	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 37.4 pm	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 38	Friday 6 July
Day 38.1 am	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 38.2 am	<i>9/1 Delivery and infrastructure</i>
Day 38.3 pm	<i>9/2 Implementation and monitoring</i>
Day 38.4 pm	<i>9/2 Implementation and monitoring</i>
	<i>Chairman's Closing Statement</i>
	<i>Close of EiP</i>

Background Information

- 1.1 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the South West is being revised by the South West Regional Assembly (SWRA) which is the Regional Planning Body (RPB) for the South West.
- 1.2 The Deposit Draft (Draft RSS for the South West) of the revised RSS was published for consultation on Tuesday 6 June 2006.
- 1.3 The Secretary of State has decided that an Examination in Public (EiP) should be held to discuss and test the Draft revision to the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the South West. The EiP is to be held by an independent Panel appointed by the Secretary of State. Jim Parke has been appointed as the Panel Chairman, assisted by Rhys Davies as Panel Member and David Lavender as Panel Inspector.
- 1.4 Further information on the EiP process is available in the **Notes for Participants** prepared to assist people who have been invited to participate in, or who wish to observe, the EiP and in PPS11. These Notes are on the EiP website:

www.southwesteip.co.uk
- 1.5 The deadlines for the submission of electronic and paper copy **written statements** are now - EiP weeks 1,2,3,4 & 5 by 5.00 pm, Friday, 2 March 2007 and EiP weeks 6,7,8,9 & 10 by 5.00 pm, Friday, 16 March 2007.
- 1.6 The Examination in Public will commence on Tuesday 17 April 2007 at 10.00 am and is anticipated to run for 10 weeks. It will be conducted in the Thistle Hotel, Exeter.

Purpose of the Examination in Public

- 2.1 The main purpose of the EiP is to provide an opportunity for a structured discussion and testing in public, of the Deposit Draft before an independent Panel appointed by the Secretary of State. The discussion will be guided by a selection of matters arising from consultation on the Deposit Draft revision and what the Panel considers it needs to hear

debated in order to test the soundness of the revision. This will provide the main basis on which the Secretary of State can decide whether any changes are required to the revision before it is adopted.

- 2.2 The broad questions selected by the Panel in consultation with the Government Office for the South West (GO-SW) and the SWRA are set out in the Proposed Timetable, Preliminary List of Matters and Participants. They are designed to stimulate discussion about the main elements of the consultation on the Deposit Draft. Potential Participants have been selected in consultation with the GO-SW and SWRA to ensure a broad mix of viewpoints on each Matter for discussion. In preparing written statements, and in discussion, participants will be asked to focus on the wording of the Deposit Draft and whether or not changes are required as well as the underlying issues.
- 2.3 The Examination is not a review of all the proposals contained in the Deposit Draft, nor is it a hearing of all objections. It will, however, ensure that there is public discussion of those major issues of concern where the Panel considers that an examination could usefully provide further information. As the EiP is directed at the discussion of selected matters, and not the hearing of all representations, individual objectors do not have an automatic right to appear. The Panel will consider all written representations relating to the matters being examined.
- 2.4 In preparing its report following the Examination the Panel will include recommendations for amending the Deposit Draft. When completed the Panel Report will be published. The Panel Report, together with all the other consultation responses, must be taken into account when the Secretary of State decides whether any changes need to be made to the Deposit Draft. The Secretary of State will publish any proposed changes in the light of the report allowing at least eight weeks for comment.

Timetable, Final List of Matters and Participants

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
EiP Week 1 (Tuesday 17 April to Friday 20 April)				
Tuesday 17 April Day 1				
	10.00 - 10.15		Chairman's Opening Statement. To open the EiP and welcome participants and those wishing to observe the proceedings and outline the various aspects for conducting the EiP.	
	10.15 - 10.30		Regional Planning Body (RPB) Opening Statement. To focus on the process of preparing the RSS draft revision and the overall approach to preparing the Submitted Draft document. To confirm that all the procedural matters have been dealt with.	
		1	A Sustainable Future	
			<i>Section 1</i> <i>SD1 The Ecological Footprint</i> <i>SD2 Climate Change</i> <i>SD3 The Environment and Natural Resources</i> <i>SD4 Sustainable Communities</i> <i>Development Policy G Sustainable Construction</i>	
	11.00 – 13 00	1/1	Sustainability appraisal - soundness/role To what extent can the Strategic Sustainability Assessment process be regarded as sound and in particular: a) To what extent has the draft RSS been informed by the Strategic Sustainability Assessment? and b) Does the Sustainability Assessment give adequate coverage to a realistic range of options?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 9. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 10. Home Builders Federation (518)

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 13. <i>English Heritage (491)</i> 14. <i>National Trust (515)</i> 15. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 16. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 17. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 18. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i> 19. <i>South West Wildlife Trusts (494)</i> 20. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i> 21. <i>Nathaniel Lichfield (824) on behalf of the Talbot Village Trust</i> 22. <i>Sustainability South West (400)</i> 23. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i> 24. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 25. <i>WWF-UK South West (386)</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	1/2	<p><i>Appropriate assessment</i></p> <p>In the light of the decision that the Habitats Regulations apply to Strategic Plans:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How can the draft RSS adequately demonstrate that the policies and proposals will not threaten the integrity of the sites covered by Regulation 48 of the Habitats Regulations? and b) To what extent can particular impacts be made acceptable by mitigation measures? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 16. <i>South West Wildlife Trusts (494)</i> 17. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i> 18. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
				<p>19. New Forest National Park Authority (155)</p> <p>20. Sibbett Gregory (1050)</p> <p>21. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</p> <p>22. GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships</p>
	15.45 - 17.00	1/2	<i>Appropriate assessment (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 18 April				
Day 2				
	10.00 - 11.15	1/2	<i>Appropriate assessment (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	1/2	<i>Appropriate assessment (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	1/3	<p>Climate change</p> <p>Does the RSS adequately set out how the spatial strategy and its proposals have reflected issues arising from climate change during the lifetime of the plan and in particular:</p> <p>a) What are the spatial consequences and actions that will be relevant to this issue?</p> <p>b) Are the ways in which the Climate Change objectives will be achieved sufficiently clear in terms of mitigation and adaptation? and</p> <p>c) Are the other draft RSS policies as supportive as they should be?</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040)</p> <p>2. Government Office for the South West (364)</p> <p>3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)</p> <p>4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</p> <p>5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</p> <p>6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</p> <p>7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</p> <p>8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</p> <p>9. Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</p> <p>10. Home Builders Federation (518)</p> <p>11. Natural England (589)</p> <p>12. Environment Agency (409)</p> <p>13. Highways Agency (447)</p> <p>14. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</p> <p>15. Friends of the Earth (422)</p> <p>16. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</p> <p>17. South West Wildlife Trusts (494)</p> <p>18. Town and Country Planning Association (509)</p> <p>19. Entec UK Ltd (410) on behalf of the SW Regional Airport Operators</p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
				20. SW Regional Environment Network (489) 21. SW Climate Change Impacts Partnership (430) 22. Justin Milward (951) 23. Sustainability South West (400) 24. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their client 25. WWF-UK South West (386)
	15.45 - 17.00	1/3	<i>Climate change (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 19 April Day 3				
	10.00 - 11.15	1/3	<i>Climate change (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	1/3	<i>Climate change (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
		2	The Context	
			<i>Section 2</i> <i>Spatial Context for Change for the South West Expected Economic and Population Change</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	2/1	<i>Level of economic change</i> In setting out the economic context for the strategy, does the draft RSS adequately set out the assumptions with regard to national and regional economics and the components of growth and demonstrate that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The alignment between the RSS and the RES is sufficiently close? b) The RES growth assumptions are sufficiently robust in view of the potential implications of globalisation processes, long-term oil supplies and climate change? 	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) 1042 8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 9. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 10. Home Builders Federation (518) 11. Natural England (589) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) The scale, type and distribution of economic growth and job growth is sufficiently justified in the RSS? and d) The economic prospects of the rural areas have been adequately taken into account? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Town Planning Institute (382)</i> 15. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i> 16. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others</i> 17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 18. <i>DLP (531) on behalf of Hallam Land Management Ltd</i> 19. <i>Terence O'Rourke (576) on behalf of J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd</i> 20. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i> 21. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i> 22. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	2/1	<i>Level of economic change (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 20 April Day 4				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/1	<i>Level of economic change (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	2/1	<i>Level of economic change (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
EiP Week 2 (Tuesday 24 April to Friday 27 April)				
Tuesday 24 April Day 5				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/2	<p><i>Regional population implications</i></p> <p>In setting out the expected level of population change, does the draft RSS adequately set out the demographic and other assumptions, which determine the likely future population and demonstrate that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The implications of the economic forecasts have been 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>adequately reflected in the population forecasts in terms of the likely composition of the future population?</p> <p>b) The implications of the different migration streams, such as the retirement flows to rural areas, have been adequately reflected in the population forecasts? and</p> <p>c) The consequences of an ageing population have been sufficiently taken into account?</p>	<p>8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Royal Town Planning Institute (382)</i></p> <p>15. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i></p> <p>16. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of National Housing Federation and others</i></p> <p>17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>18. <i>DLP (531) on behalf of Hallam Land Management Ltd</i></p> <p>19. <i>Terence O'Rourke (576) on behalf of J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd</i></p> <p>20. <i>SW Regional Environment Network (489)</i></p> <p>21. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i></p> <p>22. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i></p> <p>23. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i></p>
	11.45 – 13.00	2/2	<i>Regional population implications (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	2/3	<p><i>Overall level of housing</i></p> <p>Does the draft RSS adequately reflect the most up-to-date assessment of the factors likely to influence the level of housing for which provision should be made and in particular;</p> <p>a) Is adequate evidence available to the EiP to understand the consequences of higher household projections and to enable such consequences to be identified?</p> <p>b) Is the methodology used to derive the overall level of housing sufficiently “sound”, clear and comprehensive?</p> <p>c) To what extent does the overall housing requirement reflect the economic and population forecasts?</p> <p>d) What implications should be drawn from the 2003 based</p>	<p>1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i></p> <p>2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i></p> <p>3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i></p> <p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i></p> <p>7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i></p> <p>9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Town and Country Planning Association (509)</i></p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>Household Forecasts?</p> <p>e) Should the draft RSS consider measures to reduce the demand for housing?</p> <p>f) Has the RSS responded appropriately in identifying the needs of different groups, such as an ageing population?</p> <p>g) Has the RSS adequately set out the spatial implications of these needs?</p> <p>h) What are the implications of over or under provision? and</p> <p>i) To what extent should the overall level of provision be influenced by evidence on housing needs?</p>	<p>15. <i>DPL (531) on behalf of Hallam Land Management Ltd</i></p> <p>16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>17. <i>Boyer Planning (459) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>18. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>19. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of National Housing Federation and others</i></p> <p>20. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i></p> <p>21. <i>Shelter (775)</i></p> <p>22. <i>Cotswold Conservation Board (880)</i></p> <p>23. <i>North Wiltshire District Council (470)</i></p> <p>24. <i>Torridge District Council (414)</i></p> <p>25. <i>South Hams District Council (293)</i></p>
	15.45 - 17.00	2/3	<i>Overall level of housing (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 25 April Day 6				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/3	<i>Overall level of housing (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	2/3	<i>Overall level of housing (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	2/3	<i>Overall level of housing (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	2/3	<i>Overall level of housing (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
Thursday 26 April Day 7				
	10.00 - 11.15	2/4	<p><i>Housing market implications</i></p> <p>How could the distribution of the overall level of housing to Housing Market Areas respond to higher levels of development? Should it seek to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Strike an appropriate jobs/housing balance? b) Reflect migration preferences? c) Reflect environmental limits within the HMA? or d) Reflect the distribution within the 2003 based Household Projections? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of National Housing Federation and others</i> 16. <i>DLP (531) on behalf of Hallam Land Management Ltd</i> 17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 18. <i>Boyer Planning (459) on behalf of their clients</i> 19. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 20. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i> 21. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i> 22. <i>Turley Associates (587) on behalf of the North Chippenham Consortium</i> 23. <i>Cotswold Conservation Board (880)</i> 24. <i>South Hams District Council (293)</i> 25. <i>DPDS (573) on behalf of Persimmon Homes, Redrow Homes, Taylor Woodrow Developments & Crest Strategic</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	2/4	<i>Housing market implications (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	2/4	<i>Housing market implications (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	2/4	<i>Housing market implications (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 27 April Day 8				
		3	The Spatial Strategy	
			<i>Section 3</i> <i>Development Policy A Development at the Strategically Significant Cities and Towns (SSCTs)</i> <i>Table 3.1 Strategically Significant Towns</i> <i>Development Policy B Development at Market Towns</i> <i>Development Policy C Development in Small Towns and Villages</i> <i>Development Policy D Infrastructure for Development</i> <i>Development Policy H Re-using Land</i> <i>Map 3.1 Strategy Emphases</i> <i>South West Regional Spatial Strategy Key Diagram</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	3/1	<i>Strategy approach</i> In setting out the broad Spatial Strategy is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the meaning of 'balanced growth' and in particular: a) Do the three broad strategy emphases adequately reflect the range of strategic issues in the region? and b) To what extent are these strategic emphases reflected in the draft RSS policies? Should there be differences of approach to the rural area issues in the three broad areas? and c) Are the broad transportation implications adequately identified?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 15. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
				<i>clients</i> 16. Nathaniel Lichfield (479B) on behalf of the Commercial Estates Group 17. King Sturge LLP (694) 18. Country Land & Business Association (1015) 19. Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others 20. Transport 2000 SW Network (549) 21. Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046) 22. Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals 23. GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships 24. South Hams District Council (293) 25. CgMs (2000) on behalf of Linden Homes and a client
	11.45 – 13.00	3/1	<i>Strategy approach (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
EiP Week 3 (Tuesday 1 May to Thursday 3 May)				
Tuesday 1 May Day 9				
	10.00 - 11.15	3/2	<i>Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements</i> Is the basis and purpose of identifying SSCTs sufficiently clear and is the balance between the identified SSCTs and other settlements appropriate and in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out the planned roles of the SSCTs and other settlements? b) Is there a justification for the identification of additional SSCTs? c) Does Development Policy B provide adequate guidance on the wide range of towns within the region? d) Are the development implications of Policy C adequately set out and are they consistent with the wider strategy? and e) Is sufficient guidance provided on what is meant by local 	1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) 1043) 9. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 10. Home Builders Federation (518) 11. Natural England (589) 12. Environment Agency (409) 13. National Trust (515) 14. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 15. Friends of the Earth (422)

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			need?	<p>16. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</p> <p>17. Boyer Planning (459) on behalf of their clients</p> <p>18. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</p> <p>19. King Sturge LLP (694)</p> <p>20. SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</p> <p>21. Country Land & Business Association (1015)</p> <p>22. Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</p> <p>23. Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others</p> <p>24. David Lock (2100) on behalf of the East Swindon Development Group and the East Devon New Community Partners</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	3/2	<i>Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	3/2	<i>Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	3/2	<i>Strategy approach - SSCTs and other settlements (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 2 May Day 10				
	10.00 - 11.15	3/3	<p><i>Strategy approach - Rural areas</i></p> <p>In setting out the broad strategic approach, does the draft RSS adequately deal with rural issues such as the pressures on agriculture due to CAP changes and the threats and opportunities related to other rural activities and in particular does the draft RSS adequately:</p> <p>a) Identify the range of issues pertaining to the rural areas? b) Reflect the spatial needs and opportunities? c) Establish realistic proposals? and d) Does the draft RSS give sufficient guidance on the</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040)</p> <p>2. Government Office for the South West (364)</p> <p>3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)</p> <p>4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</p> <p>5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</p> <p>6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</p> <p>7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</p> <p>8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</p> <p>9. Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</p> <p>10. Home Builders Federation (518)</p> <p>11. Natural England (589)</p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			appropriate scale and distribution of development in rural areas?	<p>12. Environment Agency (409) 13. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 14. National Farmers Union (487) 15. Entec UK Ltd (582) on behalf of the Crown Estates 16. Nathaniel Lichfield (479B) on behalf of the Commercial Estates Group 17. PRO Vision (244) on behalf of the Charborough Estate 18. CgMs (735) on behalf of Reland 19. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 20. SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739) 21. Country Land & Business Association (1015) 22. North Devon District Council (388) 23. Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046) 24. GVA Grimley (721) on behalf of the Defence Estates 25. South West ACRE Network of Rural Community Councils (1051)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	3/3	<i>Strategy approach - Rural areas (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	3/3	<i>Strategy approach - Rural areas (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	3/3	<i>Strategy approach - Rural areas (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 3 May Day 11				
	10.00 - 11.15	3/4	<p><i>Reuse of previously developed land and buildings</i></p> <p>In setting out the approach to the re-use of previously developed land and buildings, does the draft RSS:</p> <p>a) Set a sufficiently challenging target for the re-use of brownfield land?</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b) Adequately reflect the uneven distribution of such land within the region? and c) Provide adequate guidance on rural brownfield sites? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>English Heritage (491)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 16. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 17. <i>Nathanial Lichfield (479B) on behalf of the Commercial Estates Group</i> 18. <i>Lennon Planning (715) on behalf of Linden Homes</i> 19. <i>GVA Grimley (721) on behalf of the Defence Estates</i> 20. <i>English Partnerships/ Swindon Urban Regeneration Company, New Swindon Company/ Camborne-Pool-Redruth Urban Regeneration Company, CPR Regeneration/ Gloucester Heritage Urban Regeneration Company (2200)</i> 21. <i>Scott Brownrigg (317) on behalf of Arlington Property Developments</i> 22. <i>CgMs (735) Reland</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	3/4	<i>Reuse of previously developed land and buildings (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	3/5	<p><i>The selection of strategic greenfield development areas</i></p> <p>Has a suitably systematic approach been taken to the identification of strategic green field development areas and in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the importance of achieving sustainable development sufficiently emphasised? b) To what extent should the 5 purposes of including land in Green Belts, set out in paragraph 1.5 of PPG2, contribute to the area selection process? and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			c) Does the provision of a strategic 20 year land supply through the draft RSS meet the advice in PPG2 that consideration should be given to safeguarding land to ensure that Green Belt boundaries will not need to be altered at the end of the plan period?	11. Environment Agency (409) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 13. Friends of the Earth (422) 14. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 15. Boyer Planning (459) on behalf of their clients 16. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 17. David Lock Associates (601) on behalf of the East Swindon Development Group 18. Baker Associates Planning Consultants (1045) on behalf of Ashton Park Ltd, Hignett Family Trust and Strategic Land Partnerships 19. RPS (444D) on behalf of Crest Strategic Projects Ltd 20. CgMs (2000) on behalf of Linden Homes and a client
	15.45 - 17.00	3/5	<i>The selection of strategic greenfield development areas (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 4 May Day A				
	10.00 - 11.15		<i>Keep Free</i>	
	11.45 – 13.00		<i>Keep Free</i>	

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
EiP Panel not sitting early spring holiday week 19				
EiP Week 4 (Tuesday 15 May to Friday 18 May)				
Tuesday 15 May Day 12				
		4	Sub-Regional Strategies	
			<p><i>Section 4 (Part)</i></p> <p><i>SR1 The North and Centre of the Region Inset Diagram 4.1 West of England SR2 Joint Planning for West of England SR3 Bristol and Bath Green Belt SR4 Bristol SR5 Bath SR6 Weston-super-Mare Figure 4.1 An illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at the West of England SR23 Trowbridge Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 GI1 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/1	<p><i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>West of England HMA covers the urban areas of Bristol, Bath and Weston-super-Mare and also Trowbridge. Other towns referred to within the HMA include Bradford-on-Avon, Frome, Melksham, Warminster and Westbury.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <p>a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Bristol, Bath and Weston-super-Mare in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA?</p> <p>b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> <i>2. Government Office for the South West (364)/Greater Bristol Strategic Transport Study</i> <i>3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> <i>4. Bath and North East Somerset Council/ West of England Partnership (3000)</i> <i>5. Bristol City Council/ West of England Partnership(3001.)</i> <i>6. North Somerset Council /West of England Partnership (3002)</i> <i>7. South Gloucestershire Council /West of England Partnership (3001)</i> <i>8. Wiltshire County Council /West Wiltshire District Council (3004)</i> <i>9. Somerset County Council /Mendip District Council (300)</i> <i>10. Business West (499)</i> <i>11. Environment Agency (409)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Bristol, Bath and Weston-super-Mare? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for larger developments on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets, including the Bath World Heritage Site, been adequately taken into account? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion and in particular do they reflect the output from the Greater Bristol Strategic Transport Study? g) Are the proposed modifications to the Green Belt adequately justified? and h) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure?	<p>12. Highways Agency (447) 13. Transport 2000 SW Network (549) 14. Natural England (589) 15. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 16. Friends of the Earth (422) 17. Bath Preservation Trust (670) 18. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 19. Boyer Planning (467) on behalf of Heron Land Developments 20. Pegasus Planning Group (717) on behalf of the Shortwood Landowners Group 21. Baker Associates Planning Consultants (1045) on behalf of Ashton Park Ltd, Hignett Family Trust and Strategic Land Partnerships 22. Alliance Against South Bristol Ring Road (231) Preferred Representative 23. Bristol International Airport (412) 24. Bristol Civic Society (820) 25. RPS (444D) on behalf of Crest Strategic Projects Ltd</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 16 May Day 13				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 17 May Day 14				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/1	<i>West of England HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>Inset Diagram 4.2 Swindon SR7 to SR9 Swindon SR22 Chippenham Figure 4.2 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority At Swindon Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy</i> Swindon HMA covers Swindon. Other towns referred to within the Swindon HMA include Chippenham and Cirencester. In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions: a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Swindon and Chippenham in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Swindon Borough Council (443)</i> 5. <i>North Wiltshire District Council (470)</i> 6. <i>Kennet District Council (165)</i> 7. <i>Wiltshire County Council (483)</i> 8. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 9. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>Thames Water Utilities Ltd (547)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>HMA?</p> <p>b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal expected to be generated by the New Swindon Company?</p> <p>c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the large Eastern Extension Area on the required annual output for the HMA?</p> <p>d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account?</p> <p>e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the problems of water supply and waste water treatment?</p> <p>f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and</p> <p>g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure?</p>	<p>13. <i>Swindon Urban Regeneration Company (537) New Swindon Company</i></p> <p>14. <i>Oxfordshire C.C)/Vale of White Horse District Council (3006)</i></p> <p>15. <i>Cotswold District Council (413)</i></p> <p>16. <i>Wiltshire Wildlife Trust (655)</i></p> <p>17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>18. <i>Boyer Planning (461) on behalf of George Wimpey Strategic Land</i></p> <p>19. <i>David Lock Associates East (601) on behalf of the Swindon Development Group</i></p> <p>20. <i>DPDS (573) on behalf of Persimmon Homes, Redrow Homes, Taylor Woodrow Developments & Crest Strategic</i></p> <p>21. <i>Northern Community Area Partnership (899) Mollie Groom</i></p> <p>22. <i>Western Vale Consortium of Parish Councils (292) Charles Mynors</i></p> <p>23. <i>North Wessex Downs ANOB (635)</i></p> <p>24. <i>South East of England Regional Assembly (373)</i></p> <p>25. <i>Transport 2000 SW Network (549)</i></p>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 18 May Day 15				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/2	<i>Swindon HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
EiP Week 5 (Tuesday 22 May to Friday 25 May)				
Tuesday 22 May Day 16				

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>Section 4 (Continued)</p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.3 Gloucester and Cheltenham</i> <i>SR10 Joint Planning for Gloucester and Cheltenham</i> <i>SR11 Gloucester and Cheltenham Green Belt</i> <i>SR12 Gloucester</i> <i>SR13 Cheltenham</i> <i>SR14 Stroud, Tewkesbury and Forest of Dean Towns</i> <i>Figure 4.3 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Gloucester and Cheltenham</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i> <i>G11 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/3	<p>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA covers Cheltenham and Gloucester. Other towns referred to within the HMA include Stroud, the Forest of Dean Towns (Coleford, Lydney and Cinderford) and Tewkesbury.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <p>a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Gloucester and Cheltenham in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA?</p> <p>b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities expected to be generated by the Gloucester Heritage URC Ltd.?</p> <p>c) Is the contribution from non-green belt extensions adequately justified and what is the status of 'commitments' referred to in SR11?</p> <p>d) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the two large urban extension areas on the</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Cheltenham Borough Council (326)</i> 5. <i>Gloucester City Council (226)</i> 6. <i>Tewkesbury Borough Council (236)</i> 7. <i>Forest of Dean District Council/ Stroud District Council /Cotswold District Council (3007)</i> 8. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 9. <i>Environmental Agency (409)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>Gloucester Heritage Urban Regeneration Company /English Partnerships (2201)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Boyer Planning (2101) on behalf of Barwood Land Ltd & Persimmon Homes</i> 14. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 15. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 16. <i>Robert Hitchins Ltd (557)</i> 17. <i>Savills (531A) on behalf of Hallam Land Management Ltd</i> 18. <i>CgMs (2001) on behalf of 2 clients and Linden Homes</i> 19. <i>Ken Pollock (816)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>required annual output for the HMA?</p> <p>e) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid development in the flood plain?</p> <p>f) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account?</p> <p>g) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion?</p> <p>h) Are the proposed modifications to the Green Belt adequately justified? and</p> <p>i) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure?</p>	<p>20. Leckhampton Green Land Action Group (240) Preferred Representative</p> <p>21. Turley Associates (674) on behalf of St Modwen Developments Ltd</p> <p>22. Hunter Page Planning (671) on behalf of Galliard Homes Ltd</p> <p>23. RPS Planning (2101) on behalf of the North Tewksbury Land Consortium & John Pitcher</p> <p>24. Transport 2000 SW Network (549)</p> <p>25. Gloucestershire County Council (363)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 23 May Day 17				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/3	<i>Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/3	Gloucester and Cheltenham HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 24 May Day 18				
			<p>Section 4 (Continued)</p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.4 Exeter SR15 to SR 18 Exeter Figure 4.4 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Exeter SR19 Newton Abbot Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/4	<p>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>Exeter HMA covers Exeter, Newton Abbot and also part of Dartmoor National Park. Other towns referred to within the Exeter HMA include Exmouth, Crediton, Dawlish, Tiverton, Honiton, and Ottery St Mary.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Exeter and Newton Abbot in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Exeter? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the Cranbrook New Community on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Exeter City Council (432) 5. East Devon District Council (389) 6. Teignbridge District Council (324) 7. Mid Devon District Council (147) 8. Torbay Council (821) 9. Devon County Council (223) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Environment Agency (409) 12. Highways Agency (447) 13. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 14. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 15. Barton Wilmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 16. Boyer Planning (464B) on behalf of Persimmon Homes (South West Ltd) 17. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 18. David Lock Associates (559) on behalf of the East Devon New Community Partners 19. Penrilla Consultants (625) on behalf of Midas Homes Ltd

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid development in the flood plain? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure?	20. <i>Exeter & Devon Airport Ltd (504)</i> 21. <i>Savills (964) on behalf of Dart</i> 22. <i>Lympstone Society (1006)</i> 23. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i> 24. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 25 May Day 19				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
EiP Panel not sitting spring holiday week 22				
EiP Week 6 (Tuesday 5 June to Friday 8 June)				
Tuesday 5 June Day 20				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/4	<i>Exeter HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.8 Torbay SR 36 to 37 Torbay Figure 4.8 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Torbay Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 GI1 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/5	<p><i>Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Torbay Unitary Authority HMA covers Torbay (Torquay, Brixham and Paignton).</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Torbay in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Torbay? c) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? d) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and e) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>Torbay Council (821)</i> 5. <i>Teignbridge District Council (324)</i> 6. <i>South Hams District Council (293)</i> 7. <i>Devon County Council (223)</i> 8. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 9. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 12. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 13. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 14. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 15. <i>Penrilla Consultants (625) on behalf of Midas Homes Ltd</i> 16. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i> 17. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i> 18. <i>GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/5	Torbay Unitary Authority HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 6 June Day 21				
			Section 4 (Continued) <i>Inset Diagram 4.5 Taunton and Bridgwater SR20 to SR21 Taunton, Bridgwater and Wellington Figure 4.5 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Taunton and Bridgwater Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</i>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/6	<p>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>Taunton HMA covers Taunton, Bridgwater and also part of Exmoor National Park. Other towns referred to within the Taunton HMA include Wellington.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Taunton and Bridgwater in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Taunton and Bridgwater? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the large development area on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the impact of increased water levels in the 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Taunton Deane Borough Council (514) 5. Sedgemoor District Council (276) 6. West Somerset District Council (433) 7. Exmoor National Park Authority (385) 8. Somerset County Council (387) 9. Natural England (589) 10. Environment Agency (409) 11. Highways Agency (447) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 13. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 14. Boyer Planning (2103) on behalf of Heron Land Developments & Persimmon Homes (South West) Ltd, Persimmon Homes & Redrow Homes 15. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 16. GVA Grimley/Turner Holden (652A/B) on behalf of Summerfield Development (SW) Ltd 17. David Yule (817) 18. Tim Baker (949) 19. Atkins Ltd (531C) on behalf of Hallam Land Management

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>Somerset Levels?</p> <p>e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account?</p> <p>f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and</p> <p>g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure?</p>	<p>20. Alder King (477) on behalf of BAE Systems</p> <p>21. GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships</p> <p>22. Entec UK Ltd (582) on behalf of the Crown Estates</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/6	<i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/6	<i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/6	<i>Taunton HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 7 June Day 22				
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>SR25 South East of the Region</i></p> <p><i>Inset Diagram 4.6 South East Dorset</i></p> <p><i>SR26 Joint Planning for South East Dorset</i></p> <p><i>SR27 South East Dorset Green Belt</i></p> <p><i>SR28 Pattern of Development and the Environment</i></p> <p><i>SR29 South East Dorset</i></p> <p><i>Figure 4.5 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at South East Dorset</i></p> <p><i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i></p> <p><i>G11 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/7	<p><i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Bournemouth and Poole HMA covers Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch and the wider area including Gillingham, Shaftesbury</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040)</p> <p>2. Government Office for the South West (364)</p> <p>3. South West Regional Development Agency (378)</p> <p>4. Bournemouth Borough Council (375)</p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>and Swanage. Other towns in the immediate hinterland comprising the South East Dorset Conurbation include Wimborne Minister, Colehill, Ferndown, Verwood, St. Leonard's West Moors and Wareham.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Bournemouth and Poole in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in the main urban areas? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of lead-in times for all or any of the development areas on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account and in particular do the proposals reflect the need to avoid any impact on the integrity of the important nature conservation areas around the conurbation? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? g) Are the proposed modifications to the Green Belt adequately justified? And h) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Borough of Poole Council (418) 6. Christchurch Borough Council (268) 7. East Dorset District Council (377) 8. Purbeck District Council (428) 9. North Dorset District Council/West Dorset District Council (3008) 10. South East of England Regional Assembly/New Forest National Park Authority (3009) 11. Natural England (589) 12. Highways Agency (447) 13. Environment Agency (409) 14. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 15. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 16. Dorset Wildlife Trust (664) 17. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 18. Drivers Jonas (480) on behalf of Bournemouth International Airport 19. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 20. Terence O'Rourke (576) on behalf of J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd 21. Savills (444) on behalf of Crest Strategic Projects Ltd 22. Corfe Mullen Parish Council (100) 23. West Parley Parish Council (291) 24. Lytchett Minster and Upton Town Council (716) 25. Dorset County Council (420)
	11.45 – 13.00	4/7	Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 8 June Day 23				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
EiP Week 7 (Tuesday 12 June to Friday 15 June)				
Tuesday 12 June Day 24				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/7	<i>Bournemouth and Poole HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 13 June Day 25				
			<i>Section 4 (Continued)</i> <i>SR32 The Western Peninsula Inset Diagram 4.7 Plymouth, South East Cornwall and South</i>	

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>West Devon SR33 to SR35 Plymouth, South East Cornwall and South West Devon Figure 4.7 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at Plymouth Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/8	<p><i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Plymouth HMA covering Plymouth and also part of Dartmoor National Park. Other Towns referred to in the Plymouth HMA include Saltash and Torpoint.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Plymouth in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Plymouth? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the impact of the lead-in times for the large development area of Sherford on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Plymouth City Council (328) 5. South Hams District Council (293) 6. West Devon Borough Council (490) 7. Caradon District Council (144) 8. Dartmoor National Park Authority (520) 9. Devon County Council (223) 10. Cornwall County Council (362) 11. Penrilla Consultants (624) on behalf of the Plymouth Chamber of Commerce 12. Natural England (589) 13. Environment Agency (409) 14. Highways Agency (447) 15. English Partnerships (452) 16. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 17. Friends of the Earth (422) 18. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 19. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 20. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 21. Saltash Gateway Market and Coastal Towns Initiative (634) 22. Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046) 23. Hopwood and Swallow (755) on behalf of Carlton Power Ltd 24. Framptons (857) on behalf of the Plymouth and South West Co-Operative Society

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
				25. National Trust (515)
	11.45 – 13.00	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 14 June Day 26				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/8	<i>Plymouth HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
			Section 4 (Continued) <i>Inset Diagram 4.9 Cornwall Towns SR38 The European Objective 1 Region SR39 to SR 41 Cornwall Towns – Cambourne-Pool-Redruth, Truro and Falmouth-Penryn SR42 The Isles of Scilly Figure 4.9 An Illustration of Housing Distribution by Local Authority at the Cornwall Towns Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/9	West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy <i>West Cornwall HMA covers Camborne-Pool-Redruth, Truro and Falmouth-Penryn and the Isles of Scilly. Other Towns include Penzance, St Austell and Newquay.</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Cornwall County Council (362) 5. Kerrier District Council (194) 6. Carrick District Council (305)

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in CPR, Truro and Falmouth-Penryn in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities being generated in Camborne/Pool/Redruth by CPR Regeneration? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development in the HMA and the impact of the lead-in times for the larger development areas on the required annual output for the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. <i>Penwith District Council (591)</i> 8. <i>Restormel District Council (446)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>English Partnerships / Camborne-Pool-Redruth Urban Regeneration Company, CPR Regeneration (2202)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Boyer Planning (464B) on behalf of Persimmon Homes</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 17. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 18. <i>Broadway Malyan (479A) on behalf of the Commercial Estates Group</i> 19. <i>Turner Holden (2104) on behalf of Persimmon Homes, David McLean Homes and George Wimpey Strategic Land</i> 20. <i>Emery Planning Partnership (642) on behalf of Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Ltd</i> 21. <i>CoSERG (813)</i> 22. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i> 23. <i>Caradon District Council (144)</i> 24. <i>DTZ Consulting (534) on behalf of South West Water/Peninsula Properties</i> 25. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/9	<i>West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 15 June Day 27				
	10.00 - 11.15	4/9	<i>West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/9	West Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)	As above
EiP Week 8 (Tuesday 19 June to Friday 22 June)				
Tuesday 19 June Day 28				
			Section 4 (Continued) SR32 The Western Peninsula SR43 Barnstaple and Bideford Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/10	<p>Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy</p> <p>Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA covers Barnstaple and also part of Exmoor National Park. Other towns include Bideford/Northam.and Bodmin.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Barnstaple and Bideford in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in the main settlements? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development across the HMA? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Devon County Council (223) 5. Cornwall County Council (362) 6. North Devon District Council (388) 7. Torridge District Council (414) 8. North Cornwall District Council (451) 9. Exmoor National Park Authority (385) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Environment Agency (409) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 13. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 14. Boyer Planning (463) Southwold Investments Ltd 15. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 16. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 17. Turner Holden (2104) on behalf of Persimmon Homes, Davis McLean Homes and George Wimpey Strategic Land 18. Foot Anstey Planning (476) on behalf of Baker Property Services Ltd

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>into account?</p> <p>f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and</p> <p>g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure?</p>	<p>19. Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046) 20. West Somerset District Council (433) 21. Somerset County Council (387)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/10	<i>Polycentric Devon and Cornwall HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>SR 30 Salisbury</i> <i>Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2</i> <i>G11 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/11	<p><i>Salisbury District HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Salisbury District HMA covers Salisbury.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <p>a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Salisbury in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles and its relationship with the other parts of the HMA?</p> <p>b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Salisbury?</p> <p>c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development within the HMA?</p> <p>d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account?</p>	<p>1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Salisbury District Council (598) 5. East Dorset District Council (377) 6. Wiltshire County Council (483) 7. Dorset County Council (420) 8. Natural England (589) 9. Environment Agency (409) 10. Highways Agency (447) 11. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 12. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 13. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 14. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 15. DPDS (758) on behalf of the Porton Bioscience and Technology Centre 16. Pegasus Planning Group (926) on behalf of the Amesbury Property Company</p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? And g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<p>17. Kennet District Council (165) 18. Savills (842) on behalf of David J Pearce and others</p>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/11	<i>Salisbury District HMA sub-regional Strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 20 June Day 29				
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>SR 31 Dorchester and Weymouth Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/12	<p><i>Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>Weymouth and Dorchester HMA covers Dorchester and Weymouth and includes a wider area and the town of Bridport.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Weymouth and Dorchester in terms of their present and future regional and sub-regional roles and their relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities in Weymouth and Dorchester? c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development within the HMA? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Dorset County Council (420) 5. East Dorset District Council (377) 6. Purbeck District Council (428) 7. West Dorset District Council (369) 8. Weymouth & Portland Borough Council (381) 9. Natural England (589) 10. Environment Agency (409) 11. Highways Agency (447) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 13. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 14. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 15. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients 16. Terence O'Rourke Ltd (706) on behalf of Stone Firms Ltd 17. Sibbett Gregory (618) on behalf of Betterment Properties

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? And g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<p><i>(Weymouth) Ltd</i> 18. Dorset Wildlife Trust (664)</p>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/12	<i>Weymouth and Dorchester HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>SR 24 Yeovil Map 4.2 and Tables 4.1 and 4.2 G11 Green Infrastructure</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	4/13	<p><i>South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy</i></p> <p>South Somerset District HMA covers Yeovil. Other Towns include Chard and Crewkerne. Sherborne, to the east of Yeovil, is in an adjoining HMA.</p> <p>In setting out policies and proposals for the HMA sub-region, does the draft RSS adequately deal with the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear about the spatial outcomes it is seeking in Yeovil in terms of its present and future regional and sub-regional roles and its relationship with the other parts of the HMA? b) Has the scale of additional greenfield development been adequately justified against the likely level of housing requirements and in particular the urban renewal opportunities? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. Taunton Deane Borough Council (514) 5. North Dorset District Council (421) 6. West Dorset District Council (369) 7. East Devon District Council (389) 8. Somerset County Council (387) 9. Dorset County Council (420) 10. Natural England (589) 11. Highways Agency (447) 12. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 13. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403) 14. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 15. Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the distribution of development within the HMA and to the relationship with development at Sherborne? d) Have environmental limits arising from matters such as flood risk and the protection of environmental assets been adequately taken into account? e) Have infrastructure considerations been adequately taken into account? f) Do the proposals adequately reflect the need to reduce the need to travel, support the use of public transport and minimise congestion? and g) Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the provision of Green Infrastructure? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 16. Turner Holden (595) on behalf of Redrow Homes 17. Sherborne Area Partnership (811) 18. South Somerset District (390) 19. Yeovil Chamber of Commerce (367)
	15.45 - 17.00	4/13	<i>South Somerset District HMA sub-regional strategy (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Thursday 21 June Day 30				
			<p><i>Section 4 (Continued)</i></p> <p><i>Map 4.1 RSS Studies for Sub-Regional Planning</i> <i>Map 4.2 South West Housing Market Areas</i> <i>Table 4.1 Housing market Areas, Unitary Authorities and Districts: Housing Totals and Phasing</i> <i>Table 4.2 Strategically Significant Cities and Towns: Housing Totals</i> <i>HD1 Sub-regional Distribution of Housing 2006-2026</i> <i>HD2 Phasing of Housing Development and Ensuring Land Supply</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	4/14	<p><i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity</i></p> <p>Does the draft RSS plan for an appropriate and deliverable level of housing in each Housing Market Area and local authority area and properly reconcile evidence on demand with evidence on capacity and in particular:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) How should housing need be reflected in the distribution? b) What influence should evidence on affordability have on the distribution? c) How should economic potential be reflected in the distribution? d) What are the appropriate responses to environmental limits? e) What consideration should be given to past building rates and deliverability? f) What consideration should be given to phasing? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Tellow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others</i> 11. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 12. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 13. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 14. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 15. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 16. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 18. <i>Boyer Planning (459) on behalf of their clients</i> 19. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 20. <i>DPL (531) on behalf of Hallam Land Management Ltd</i> 21. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i> 22. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i> 23. <i>CgMs (10YY) on behalf of Reland, Linden Homes and a client</i> 24. <i>DPDS (573) on behalf of Persimmon Homes, Redrow Homes, Taylor Woodrow Developments & Crest Strategic</i> 25. <i>GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	4/14	<i>Reconciling overall demand and sub regional capacity (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 22 June Day B				
	10.00 - 11.15		<i>Keep Free</i>	

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	11.45 – 13.00		Keep Free	
EiP Week 9 (Tuesday 26 June to Friday 29 June)				
Tuesday 26 June Day 31				
		5	Transport/Regional Transport Strategy	
			<p><i>Section 5</i></p> <p><i>Map 5.1 South West Regional Transport Network</i> <i>TR1 Demand Management1 and Public Transport in the SSCTs</i> <i>TR2 The M4 and M5</i> <i>TR3 Second Strategic Route</i> <i>TR4 Remainder of the Trunk Road Network</i> <i>TR5 Inter-regional Rail Network</i> <i>TR6 Inter-regional Bus and Coach Network</i> <i>TR7 Ports</i> <i>TR8 Bristol Port</i> <i>TR9 Airports</i> <i>TR10 Regional Connectivity</i> <i>TR11 Intra-regional Public Transport</i> <i>TR12 Regional Freight Map</i> <i>Map 5.2 Regional Freight Map</i> <i>TR13 Rail Freight Interchange Facilities</i> <i>Implementation Plan Appendix 3 Regional Funding Allocation Schemes: The Region's Submitted Advice to Government</i></p>	
	10.00 - 11.15	5/1	<p>Management of the transport network</p> <p>Does the draft RSS deal adequately with the future movement needs of the region and in particular;</p> <p>a) Has the draft RSS adequately demonstrated that the policies and proposals are based on a sound assessment of demand</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364)/DfT 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>for transport?</p> <p>b) Is the draft RSS/RTS ambitious enough in seeking to reduce the growth of travel or should it seek to reduce the overall levels of travel by car? and</p> <p>c) What other demand management could be considered?</p>	<p>8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) 1043</i></p> <p>9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Transport 2000 SW Network (549)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p> <p>15. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>16. <i>Entec UK Ltd (410) on behalf of the SW Regional Airport Operators</i></p> <p>17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>18. <i>Alliance Against South Bristol Ring Road (231) Preferred Representative</i></p> <p>19. <i>Friends of Suburban Bristol Railways (935)</i></p> <p>20. <i>Sustainability South West (400)</i></p> <p>21. <i>Bristol Civic Society (820)</i></p> <p>22. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i></p> <p>23. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i></p>
	11.45 – 13.00	5/1	<i>Management of the transport network (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	5/2	<p><i>Improvements to the transport network</i></p> <p>Is the proposed package of improvements to the transport network adequately justified and in particular:</p> <p>a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out spatially specific transport policies that support the sub-regional strategies?</p> <p>b) Is the balance between connectivity and ‘making urban areas work’ sufficiently clear?</p> <p>c) Does the draft RSS adequately demonstrate that the transport priorities and phasing proposals adequately reflect their contribution to the wider spatial strategy and deliverability?</p> <p>d) Are the implications of air traffic growth adequately</p>	<p>1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i></p> <p>2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)/DfT</i></p> <p>3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i></p> <p>4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i></p> <p>5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i></p> <p>6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i></p> <p>7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i></p> <p>8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) 1043</i></p> <p>9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i></p> <p>10. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i></p> <p>11. <i>Natural England (589)</i></p> <p>12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i></p> <p>13. <i>Transport 2000 SW Network (549)</i></p> <p>14. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i></p>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			considered? and e) To what extent are the draft RSS proposals consistent with the Regional Funding Allocation (RFA) transport priorities?	<p>15. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i></p> <p>16. <i>Entec UK Ltd (410) on behalf of the SW Regional Airport Operators</i></p> <p>17. <i>Bristol Port Company (485)</i></p> <p>18. <i>Friends of Suburban Bristol Railways (935)</i></p> <p>19. <i>Alliance Against South Bristol Ring Road (231) Preferred Representative</i></p> <p>20. <i>Westbury Bypass Alliance (289) Preferred Representative</i></p> <p>21. <i>Sustainability South West (400)</i></p> <p>22. <i>Bristol Civic Society (820)</i></p> <p>23. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i></p> <p>24. <i>Poole Harbour Commissioners (237)</i></p> <p>25. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i></p>
	15.45 - 17.00	5/2	<i>Improvements to the transport network (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 27 June Day 32				
	10.00 - 11.15	5/2	<i>Improvements to the transport network (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	5/2	<i>Improvements to the transport network (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
		6	Housing	
			<i>Section 6</i> <i>Map 6.1 House Price to Income Ratio</i> <i>H1 Affordable Housing</i> <i>H2 Housing Densities</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	6/1	<i>Affordable housing</i> In setting out policies on affordable housing does the draft RSS give adequate consideration to the likely composition of future households and in particular: a) Does the draft RSS adequately justify the target of 7500 additional affordable houses per year? b) Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the variations in affordable housing circumstances across the region and is there scope for sub-regional targets? and c) Does the draft RSS set out an adequate approach to rural housing and rural affordable housing?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 12. <i>HLL Humberts Leisure (658) on behalf of the British Holiday & Home Parks Association Ltd</i> 13. <i>CgMs (735) on behalf of Reland</i> 14. <i>Shelter (775)</i> 15. <i>Cotswold Conservation Board (880)</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036)</i> 17. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others</i> 18. <i>Housing Corporation (407)</i> 19. <i>CoSERG (813)</i> 20. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i> 21. <i>Blackwell Residents Association (96)</i> 22. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i> 23. <i>Torrige District Council (414)</i> 24. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i> 25. <i>South Hams District Council (293)</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	6/1	<i>Affordable housing (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
Thursday 28 June Day 33				
	10.00 - 11.15	6/2	<p><i>Housing density</i></p> <p>In setting out the policies on housing density does the draft RSS give adequate consideration to the likely composition of future households and in particular:</p> <p>a) Do the draft RSS housing density proposals adequately reflect the range of circumstances across the region and is there scope for sub-regional targets? and</p> <p>b) Does the draft RSS provide sufficient guidance to ensure mixed and balanced communities?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 12. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 13. <i>National Housing Federation and others (484) Tetlow King Planning</i> 14. <i>Housing Corporation (407)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 16. <i>David Lock Associates (601) on behalf of the East Swindon Development Group</i> 17. <i>Hunter Page Planning (769) on behalf of Redrow Homes (SW) Ltd</i> 18. <i>Charles Planning Consultants (697) on behalf of Swan Hill Homes</i> 19. <i>Blackwell Residents Association (96)</i> 20. <i>GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	6/2	<i>Housing density (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
		7	The Environment and Resource Management	
			<p><i>Section 7</i></p> <p><i>CO1 Defining the Coastal Zone</i> <i>CO2 Coastal Planning</i> <i>Map 7.4 Flood Plain</i> <i>Map 7.5 Sea Level Rise and Coastal Flood Risk</i></p>	

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p><i>F1 Flood Risk</i></p> <p><i>Map 7.6 Indicative Breakdown of Renewable Electricity: 2010 Targets</i></p> <p><i>RE1 Renewable Electricity Targets: 2010 and 2020</i></p> <p><i>RE2 Coastal Zones and Offshore Energy</i></p> <p><i>RE3 Renewable Heat Targets</i></p> <p><i>RE4 Meeting the Targets Through Development of New Resources</i></p> <p><i>RE5 Renewable Energy and New Development</i></p> <p><i>W1 Provision of Waste Sites</i></p> <p><i>Appendix 2 Sub Regional Allocations for Waste Capacity</i></p> <p><i>W2 Waste Facilities and the Waste Hierarchy</i></p> <p><i>W3 Hazardous Waste</i></p> <p><i>W4 Controlling, Reusing and Recycling Waste in Development</i></p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	7/1	<p><i>Flood risk</i></p> <p>In setting out policies on Flood Risk does the draft RSS set out an adequate approach to flood risk assessment of major development options and in particular:</p> <p>a) Does the draft RSS provide sufficient guidance to DPDs for addressing flood risk priorities? and</p> <p>b) Should the draft RSS identify strategic areas in need of flood protection and those areas of the region where managed retreat would be acceptable?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 11. <i>National Trust (515)</i> 12. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 13. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 14. <i>Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (403)</i> 15. <i>Nathaniel Lichfield (479B) on behalf of the Commercial Estates Group</i> 16. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 17. <i>Nathaniel Lichfield (621) on behalf of Bourne Leisure</i> 18. <i>Country Land & Business Association (1015)</i> 19. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i> 20. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 21. <i>NFU - South West (487)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	7/1	<i>Flood risk (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 29 June Day 34				
	10.00 - 11.15	7/2	<p><i>Energy & renewable targets</i></p> <p>In setting out policies for Renewable Energy does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance and in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS properly reflect the requirements of PPS22 in terms of the setting of targets for renewable energy and how should these targets be expressed in the RSS? b) Does it provide a justification for linking renewable energy targets with targets for energy efficiency? c) Is there clear guidance for stakeholders as to what the target for renewable energy capacity in 2020 is? and d) Is the promise of SPD documents an adequate response to paragraph 7 of PPS22, which proposes the development of policies in the RSS to identify broad areas where development of particular types of renewable energy may be considered appropriate? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 10. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 11. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 12. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 13. <i>North Wessex Downs ANOB (635)</i> 14. <i>West Coast Energy (227)</i> 15. <i>npower Renewables (241)</i> 16. <i>West Country Energy Action/ Devon Energy Efficiency Advice Centre (1052)</i> 17. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 18. <i>Exmoor National Park Authority (385)</i> 19. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i> 20. <i>Regen South West (1053)</i> 21. <i>Cornwall Sustainable Energy Partnership (737)</i> 22. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 23. <i>NFU - South West (487)</i> 24. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	7/2	<i>Energy & renewable targets (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
EiP Week 10 (Tuesday 3 July to Friday 6 July)				
Tuesday 3 July Day 35				
	10.00 - 11.15	7/3	<p>Waste management</p> <p>Does the draft RSS set out an adequate approach to Waste Management and in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out the basis for the amount, type and apportionment of waste in Appendix 2? b) Does the proximity principle have a particular relevance in the South West? c) Does the draft RSS properly comply with the requirement of PPS10 to identify the need and broad locations for additional waste management capacity of regional or sub-regional significance? and d) Is the guidance for planning for hazardous waste facilities adequate? e) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the re-use and recycling of demolition and construction wastes? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 9. Wiltshire County Council (483) 10. Environment Agency (409) 11. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 12. Friends of the Earth (422) 13. Terence O'Rourke (795) on behalf of Sita UK Ltd 14. SWARD (914) 15. Federation of Small Businesses (766) 16. Viridor Waste Management (370) 17. Gloucestershire County Council (363)
	11.45 – 13.00	7/3	Waste management (continued)	<i>As above</i>
		8	Economy and Employment	
			<p>Section 8</p> <p>Map 8.1 Economic Activity Zones E1 Assessing Employment Land Provision E2 Identification of Employment Sites E3 Review of Employment Sites E4 Redevelopment of Employment Sites E5 Waterside Employment Sites TC1 City and Town Centres TO1 Sustainable Tourism</p>	

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>TO2 Safeguarding and Investing in Tourism Destinations TO3 Major New High Quality, High Profile Attractions</p>	
	14.00 - 15.15	8/1	<p>Employment development requirements</p> <p>In setting out the approach to accommodating economic growth, does the draft RSS provide sufficient policy guidance for the places that are expected to accommodate the most significant economic change and in particular:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the provision of sustainable sites and premises to accommodate the full range of future employment growth? b) To what extent should an assessment of employment land requirements be provided on a TTWA or District basis? c) Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the competition between employment and other uses? And d) Does the draft RSS provide adequate guidance on the re-use and redevelopment of existing employment sites outside of the defined settlements? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. South West Regional Assembly (1040) 2. Government Office for the South West (364) 3. South West Regional Development Agency (378) 4. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A) 5. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B) 6. South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C) 7. South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042) 8. South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043) 9. Confederation of British Industry South West (379) 10. Highways Agency (447) 11. Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566) 12. Bristol Port Company (485) 13. Drivers Jonas (480) on behalf of Bournemouth International Airport 14. GVA Grimley (652) on behalf of Summerfield Development (SW) Ltd 15. Goadsby Town Planning Commercial Client Group (2105) on behalf of South Coast Land Society and others, Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd, and British Land Holdings Ltd 16. CSJ Planning (672) on behalf of Ashfield Land Ltd 17. Penrilla Consultants (622) on behalf of Rok Development 18. Scott Brownrigg (317) on behalf of Arlington Property Developments 19. Turley Associates (674) on behalf of St Modwen Developments Ltd 20. King Sturge LLP (694) 21. Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients 22. Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046) 23. Hopwood and Swallow (755) on behalf of Carlton Power Ltd 24. SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739) 25. GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	8/1	<i>Employment development requirements (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Wednesday 4 July Day 36				
	10.00 - 11.15	8/1	<i>Employment development requirements (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	8/1	<i>Employment development requirements (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	8/2	<p><i>Retailing and city and town centres</i></p> <p>Does the draft RSS set out adequate guidance on the role and function of city and town centres within the region and in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Does the draft RSS adequately set out policies for the role of centres within the region in terms of the functions set out in PPS6? b) Is the draft RSS sufficiently clear on the appropriate locations for office development? c) Does the draft RSS provide adequate guidance on the accommodation of the anticipated scale of development for city and town centres? and d) Is the guidance on ‘out-of-town provision’ in the draft RSS sufficiently clear, particularly in respect of the Cribbs Causeway retail development? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 10. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 11. <i>GVA Grimley (652) on behalf of Summerfield Development (SW) Ltd</i> 12. <i>Pegasus Planning Group (666) on behalf of Decathlon UK Ltd</i> 13. <i>Cushman & Wakefield (691) on behalf of Grosvenor Ltd</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	8/2	<i>Retailing and city and town centres (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
Thursday 5 July Day 37				
	10.00 - 11.15	8/3	<i>Tourism development</i> Does the draft RSS provide sufficient guidance on tourism development?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Confederation of British Industry South West (379)</i> 10. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 11. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 12. <i>Devon and Cornwall Business Council (1046)</i> 13. <i>SW Chamber of Rural Enterprise & SW Rural Affairs Forum (739)</i> 14. <i>Nathanial Lichfield (621) on behalf of Bourne Leisure</i> 15. <i>Terence O'Rourke (797) on behalf of Crown Golf</i> 16. <i>Terence O'Rourke Ltd (706) on behalf of Stone Firms Ltd</i> 17. <i>Southern Planning (2106) on behalf of the British Marine Federation and the Royal Yachting Association</i> 18. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	8/3	<i>Tourism development (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
		9	Implementation and Monitoring	
			<i>Implementation Plan</i> <i>Management of Change and Better Delivery</i> <i>A Framework for Implementation</i>	
	14.00 - 15.15	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure</i> <i>Delivery and infrastructure</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number) For submitting written statements</i>
			<p>Does the draft RSS adequately deal with the issues arising from the implementation of the strategy, particularly:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Is the private sector capable of responding to the increased level of development implied by the technical forecasts? b) Does the draft RSS set out an adequate assessment of what is required in terms of infrastructure to deliver the proposed growth, particularly in the Joint Study Areas? c) To what extent can major urban extensions be expected to fund the infrastructure implications of the development? d) What role might the New Growth Points Fund from the Government play in funding the required infrastructure? e) What contribution can be expected from the regional and local funding mechanisms identified in the implementation plan? and f) Does the Regional Funding Allocation (RFA) process provide a guide to future resource availability? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1042)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Barton Willmore Planning Partnership (1036) on behalf of their clients</i> 16. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others</i> 17. <i>A.D. England (939)</i> 18. <i>Baker Associates Planning Consultants (1038) on behalf of Ashton Park Ltd</i> 19. <i>Scott Brownrigg (317) on behalf of Arlington Property Developments</i> 20. <i>RPS (444D) on behalf of Crest Strategic Projects Ltd</i> 21. <i>Hunter Page Planning (671) on behalf of Galliard Homes Ltd</i> 22. <i>Hives Planning (239) on behalf of WBB Minerals</i> 23. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 24. <i>GVA Grimley (452) on behalf of English Partnerships</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
Friday 6 July Day 38				
	10.00 - 11.15	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
	11.45 – 13.00	9/1	<i>Delivery and infrastructure (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>

Timetable		Final List of Matters		Final List of Participants
Weeks 16 - 27 10 Weeks 2007	Times	Number	Matter <i>Relevant Parts of Supporting Text and Policies</i>	<i>Participant name/(Participant number)</i> <i>For submitting written statements</i>
	14.00 - 15.15	9/2	Implementation and monitoring Does the RSS adequately address the arrangements for monitoring?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>South West Regional Assembly (1040)</i> 2. <i>Government Office for the South West (364)</i> 3. <i>South West Regional Development Agency (378)</i> 4. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041A)</i> 5. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041B)</i> 6. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (N&C) (1041C)</i> 7. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (SE) (1042)</i> 8. <i>South West Strategic Authorities (WP) (1043)</i> 9. <i>Home Builders Federation (518)</i> 10. <i>Natural England (589)</i> 11. <i>Environment Agency (409)</i> 12. <i>Highways Agency (447)</i> 13. <i>Campaign to Protect Rural England South West (566)</i> 14. <i>Friends of the Earth (422)</i> 15. <i>Royal Town Planning Institute (382)</i> 16. <i>Sustainability South West (400)</i> 17. <i>Pegasus Planning Client Group (408) on behalf of their clients</i> 18. <i>Tetlow King Planning (484) on behalf of the National Housing Federation and others</i>
	15.45 - 17.00	9/2	<i>Implementation and monitoring (continued)</i>	<i>As above</i>
			Chairman's Closing Statement	
			Close of EiP	

Notes